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#### Abstract

The purpose of the study is to obtain measurements of the formant frequencies of the 7 long vowels [i: y: $\varepsilon:$ œ: a: o: $u$ :] in the $(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{V}$ : syllables and 7 half-long vowels [i y $\varepsilon$ œ a $\supset$ $u$ ] in the (C)V:S syllables, and 4 short vowels $\left[\begin{array}{llll}1 & \varepsilon & \Theta & \ddots\end{array}\right]$ in the (C)VS syllables in Cantonese. Results show that (1) in the $F_{1} / F_{2}$ plane the half-long vowels except [a] are centralized relative to the long vowels; (2) the center F-  to what is reported in Ladefoged and Maddieson (1996); (3) both (1) and (2) are true for the male and female speakers; and (4) for both the long and half-long vowels, the distance between the mid vowels and the high vowels in the $F_{1} / F_{2}$ plane is larger than the distance between the mid vowels and the low vowel [a] for the female speakers, but for the male speakers the distances are similar.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

In Cantonese there are seven long vowels [i: y: $\varepsilon$ : œ: a: o: u :] in the ( C$) \mathrm{V}$ : syllables, seven half-long vowels [i y $\varepsilon$ œ a 0 u ] in the (C)V:S syllables ( $\mathrm{S}=$ stop $[-\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{t}]$ or $[-\mathrm{k}]$ ), and four short vowels [ $\left.\begin{array}{llll}\boldsymbol{e} & \Theta & \ddots\end{array}\right]$ in the (C)VS syllables [1]. The IPA vowel symbols used in this study are those that appear in the illustrations of IPA in the Handbookofthe IPA [2].

A large-scale investigation of the formant frequency patterns of the vowels and diphthongs in Hong Kong Cantonese (HKC) has been carried out in the Phonetics Lab at the City University of Hong Kong, analyzing speech data from 100 native speakers of HKC, 50 male and 50 female. This paper reports some of the results of the spectral analysis of the vowels in HKC.

## 2. METHOD

### 2.1 Subjects

50 male and 50 female native speakers of Hong Kong Cantonese provided the speech data. They were all university students aged between 18 and 21 with no history of speech or hearing disorders.

### 2.2 Recording and test material

Audio recordings were made of subjects reading a list of 18 meaningful test monosyllables. The test monosyllables which contained the 7 long vowels [i: y: $\varepsilon:$ œ: a: o: u:] were [ji7] 'medicine', [jy $]$ 'to grant', [ $\varepsilon\urcorner]$ 'to complain', [hœา] 'boots', [ha7] 'shrimp', [hol] 'harsh', and [wu7] 'black'. All the test monosyllables are associated with a long ' 55 ' tone. Those contained the 7 half-long vowels [i y $\varepsilon$ œ a $\rho$ u] included [hit-] 'to rest', [hyt-1] 'blood', [hek-1] 'to eat', [sœk-1] 'to whittle', [hak-1] 'guest', [hot-1] 'thirsty', and
[fut-1] 'wide'. All as the transcription indicates are associated with a mid ' 33 ' tone, rather than a high ' 55 ' tone. This is due to the fact that in Cantonese a large majority of the half-long vowels are only associated with a '33' tone. The test monosyllables [rk7] 'hiccup', [set7] 'cricket', [het7] 'to beg', and [huk7] 'to cry' which contain
 high ' 5 ' tone. Each of the test words was embedded in a carrier sentence, [ $10 \wedge$ jiu- tok-1 $\qquad$ pei1 leì thenา] "I want (to) read $\qquad$ for you (to) listen.". The speakers were instructed to read the word list at a normal rate of speech. The order of the test words in the list was randomized. Five readings of the word list were recorded. The total number of the test tokens was 9,000 ( 100 speakers x 18 test monosyllables x 5 repetitions). The recordings were performed in a sound-proof booth (IAC) in the Phonetics Lab at the City University of Hong Kong.

### 2.3 Spectral processing

In this study, CSL4300B (Computerized Speech Lab), a speech analysis software by Kay Elemetric of USA, was used for spectral analysis of the vowels. The software provides 16 -bit input $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{D}$ conversion and the hardware can capture speech signal over a variety of sampling rate. In this study, speech data were captured at a sampling rate of 10,000 samples per second, producing an upper frequency cutoff of $5,000 \mathrm{~Hz}$. LPC was performed at the midpoint of the vowel using the pitch synchronous method. In the case of the short vowels, the midpoint, or the midpoint of the steady-state portion, of the formant trajectories was selected as the location for LPC analysis.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Result I: male speakers

Figure 1 shows the positions of the vowel ellipses for the 7 long Cantonese vowels [i: y: $\varepsilon: \infty$ : a: $0: \mathrm{u}:$ ] in the (C)V: syllables in the $F_{1} / F_{2}$ plane for 50 male speakers. Each of the vowel ellipses is drawn with radii of two standard deviations along the two principal components of each vowel cluster [3]. Each vowel cluster consists of 250 data points ( 5 repetitions $\times 50$ speakers) in the $\mathrm{F}_{1} / \mathrm{F}_{2}$ plane for a particular vowel. Each data point represented by an IPA vowel symbol in the chart is determined by $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ of a vowel with $F_{1}$ plotted on the ordinate and $F_{2}$ on the abscissa on Bark scales. Thus, each vowel ellipse indicates the dispersion of 250 data points or utterances of a vowel in the $F_{1} / F_{2}$ plane for 50 male speakers. As can be seen in Figure 1, the vowel ellipses for the vowels [ $\varepsilon$ : œ: a: s: u:] in the (C)V: syllables occupy distinct locations in the acoustical vowel space. As for the vowel ellipses for the vowels [i:] and [y:], they overlap partially. The main


Figure 1: The vowel ellipses for the 7 long vowels [i: y: $\varepsilon$ : œ: a: o: $u$ :] in the (C)V: syllables ( 50 male speakers).

| Vowel | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |  | $\mathrm{~F}_{2}$ |  | $\mathrm{~F}_{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | s.d. | Mean | s.d. | Mean | s.d. |
| [i:] | 264.82 | 38.06 | 2368.88 | 165.70 | 3327.04 | 184.45 |
| [y:] | 297.91 | 44.41 | 2026.07 | 120.59 | 2400.19 | 142.09 |
| [ع:] | 533.58 | 57.65 | 2104.27 | 151.15 | 2810.02 | 170.54 |
| [œ:] | 526.16 | 52.25 | 1452.96 | 89.03 | 2471.16 | 159.17 |
| [a:] | 805.49 | 86.75 | 1240.99 | 80.16 | 2817.78 | 220.86 |
| [¿: | 537.21 | 62.23 | 844.28 | 65.44 | 2872.82 | 240.36 |
| [u:] | 329.44 | 34.60 | 697.81 | 66.64 | 2710.73 | 218.26 |

Table 1: Mean $\mathrm{F}_{1}, \mathrm{~F}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ values (in Hz$)(n=250)$ and their standard deviations for the 7 long vowels [i: y: $\varepsilon: \propto:$ a: o: $u:]$ in the $(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{V}$ : syllables in Cantonese ( 50 male speakers).
difference between [ii] and [y:] in the (C)V: syllables is in $F_{3}$ ( 3327.04 Hz for [i:]; and 2400.19 Hz for [y:]) as shown in Table 1.

An observation is made about the number of vowel heights in Cantonese. Based on the positions of the vowel ellipses in Figures 1, 2, and 3, there are only three discernible vowel heights, i.e., high [i: y: u: i y u], mid [ $\varepsilon$ :


Figure 2 shows the vowel ellipses for the 7 long vowels [i: y: $\varepsilon:$ œ: a: $0: u:]$ (thick line and larger IPA symbols) in the (C)V: syllables (Figure 1) superimposed onto the vowel ellipses for the 7 half-long vowels [i y $\varepsilon \propto$ a $\rho u$ ] (thin line and smaller IPA symbols) in the (C)V:S syllables, displaying the relative positions of the vowel ellipses for the two sets of vowels in Cantonese in the $\mathrm{F}_{1} / \mathrm{F}_{2}$ plane. As can be seen in Figure 2, the positions of ellipses for the 7 half-long vowels in the ( C$) \mathrm{V}: \mathrm{S}$ syllables relative to those for the 7 long vowels in the $(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{V}$ : syllables are all lowered due to an increase in $\mathrm{F}_{1}$. And, with the exception of [a], the relative positions of the ellipses for the other 6 halflong vowels [iy $\varepsilon \propto \rho u$ ] to those for the long vowels are centralized in the $F_{1} / F_{2}$ plane, i.e., an increase in $F_{1}$ and decrease in $F_{2}$ for [i y $\varepsilon$ œ ] and an increase in both $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ for [u 0 ]. The positions of the vowel ellipses for the 6 half-long vowels relative to those for the long vowels may be considered as a case of vowel undershoot of the "bull's eye formant pattern" [4] which is associated with the longer vowels (approximately 350 ms in the case of the Cantonese long vowels) in the optimal context of the (C)V:


Figure 2: The vowel ellipses for the 7 long vowels [i: y: $\varepsilon$ : œ: a: $0: u$ :] in the ( C$) \mathrm{V}$ : syllables (thick line and large IPA symbols) superimposed on the vowel ellipses for the halflong vowels [i y $\varepsilon$ œ a $\rho u$ ] in the ( C ) V:S syllables (thin line and small IPA symbols) ( 50 male speakers).
syllables. The undershoot is assumed to have been caused by the shorter vowel duration (approximately 200 ms ) of the half-long Cantonese vowels in the (C)V:S syllables. However, this cannot explain why the half-long [a] does not undergo centralization as do the other half-long vowels. Quite on the contrary, the half-long [a] may be considered as a case of vowel overshoot relative to the long [a]. It is not clear why the half-long [a] should behave differently when the duration is shortened. Despite the differences in the locations of the vowel ellipses, the 7 half-long vowels have not been transcribed differently from the 7 long vowels [1]. It is assumed that the differences in perceptual quality between the corresponding members of the two sets of vowels are not large enough to warrant different IPA symbols.


Figure 3: The vowel ellipses for the long vowels [i: y: $\varepsilon$ : œ: a: o: $u$ :] in the (C)V: syllables (thick line and large IPA symbols) superimposed on the vowel ellipses for the short vowels $\left[\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{E} & \Theta & U\end{array}\right]$ in the (C)VS syllables (thin line and small IPA symbols) ( 50 males speakers).

Figure 3 show the vowel ellipses for the long vowels [i: y: ع: œ: a: o: u:] (thick line and larger IPA symbols) in the (C)V: syllables (Figure 1) superimposed onto the vowel
 IPA symbols) in the (C)VS syllables, displaying the relative positions of the vowel ellipses for the two sets of vowels in Cantonese in the $\mathrm{F}_{1} / \mathrm{F}_{2}$ plane. As can be seen,
the vowel ellipses for the short vowel [ I ] and long vowel [ $\varepsilon$ :] overlap extensively. This is also true for the ellipses for the short [ u ] and long [ o ]. As for the vowel ellipse for the short vowel [ e$]$, it also overlaps with the vowel ellipse for the long vowel [a:], although the degree of overlap is less than that for the other two cases. And, as shown in Table 2, the differences in the mean $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ value between [ I ] and $[\varepsilon:],[u]$ and $[\ulcorner:]$, and $[\mathrm{e}]$ and $[\mathrm{ai}]$ are also small. Despite the similarities in F-values, [ I$]$ and [ $\varepsilon$ :], [ v$]$ and [ o ], or [e] and [a:] have been transcribed differently in most of the past studies $[1,5,6]$.

| Vowel | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |  | $\mathrm{~F}_{2}$ |  | $\mathrm{~F}_{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | s.d. | Mean | s.d. | Mean | s.d. |
| $[\mathrm{I}]$ | 529.27 | 48.82 | 2099.81 | 156.35 | $2,700.54$ | 213.04 |
| $[\varepsilon:]$ | 533.58 | 57.65 | 2104.27 | 151.15 | 2810.02 | 170.54 |
| $[\mathrm{u}]$ | 533.34 | 58.04 | 881.07 | 64.63 | 2817.99 | 246.10 |
| $[]$ | 537.21 | 62.23 | 844.28 | 65.44 | 2872.82 | 240.36 |
| $[\mathrm{\varepsilon}]$ | 799.32 | 94.48 | 1336.08 | 100.48 | 2782.43 | 193.31 |
| $[$ a: $]$ | 805.49 | 86.75 | 1240.99 | 80.16 | 2817.78 | 220.86 |

Table 2: Mean $\mathrm{F}_{1}, \mathrm{~F}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ (in Hz) values ( $n=250$ ) and their standard deviations for the short vowels [r], [ u ], and $[\mathrm{e}]$ in the (C)VS syllables and the long vowels [ $\mathrm{\varepsilon}:]$, [ o ], and [a:] in the (C)V: syllables in Cantonese ( 50 male speakers).
Ladefoged and Maddieson [7] pointed out that there are "discrepancies between acoustic plot and traditionally linguistic classification. In particular, the vowels $I_{\text {and }} v$, which are traditionally classed as high, are acoustically closer to the mid-vowels $\varepsilon$ and o rather than to $i$ and $u$ " (p. 285). Thus, the discrepancies between the center F-values and vowel transcription of the Cantonese vowels is not an isolated case. As the transcription of the Cantonese short vowels such as $\left[\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{I} & \mathrm{e} & e\end{array}\right]$ in the past studies $[1,5,6]$ is assumed to be based on the auditory impression of the vowel quality rather than the center F -values for any vowels, an explanation may be that the center F -values for any one of the short vowels in question are not representative of the spectral characteristic of the entire vowel. Due to a short duration of approximately 120 ms and to the fact that the short vowels in Cantonese are usually preceded by a consonant and always followed by a consonant, the steady-state portions of the formant trajectories are short ( $20-40 \mathrm{~ms}$ ). Tape-cutting experiments of Schatz as well as Harris show that for the vowels in the (C)VC syllables the "vowel quality cannot be discretely localized in any single portion of the syllable, but is distributed throughout the period during the voicing is present" (from Strange and Verbrugge [8], p. 213). It follows that the perceptual impression of the short vowels in Cantonese are not expected to correspond to their center F-values, which explains why those long and short vowels which have similar F-values were transcribed differently by the authors of the past studies $[1,5,6]$.

### 3.2 Result II: male and female speakers

Due to the differences in the size and length of the vocal tract, the F -values for the vowels are expected to be different between the female and male speakers. In American English [9], Dutch [10, 11] and Korean [12], the F-values for the vowels are larger for the female than male
speakers. In this section, the F -values for the vowels in Cantonese for the female speakers are compared with those for the male speakers. Figure 4 shows the positions of the vowel ellipses for the 7 long Cantonese vowels [i: y: $\varepsilon:$ œ: a: $0: u:]$ in the $(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{V}$ : syllables in the $\mathrm{F}_{1} / \mathrm{F}_{2}$ plane for 50 male (thick line and large IPA symbols) and 50 female (thin line and small IPA symbols) speakers. As shown in
$\mathrm{F}_{2}$


Figure 4: The vowel ellipses for the long vowels [i: y: $\varepsilon$ : œ: a: o: u:] in the (C)V: syllables for the male (thick line and large IPA symbols) and female (thin line and small IPA symbols) speakers ( 50 male and 50 female speakers).
the figure, the positions of the vowel ellipses for the female speakers are shifted toward the lower left corner in the acoustical vowel space relative to those for the male speakers, with the exception of [ $u$ ], for which there is no observable difference in the $F_{1}$ dimension. Table 3 shows the mean $F_{1}, F_{2}$, and $F_{3}$ values for the 7 long vowels for both male and female speakers. As shown in the table, in

| Vowel | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |  | $\mathrm{~F}_{2}$ |  | $\mathrm{~F}_{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| [i:] | 264.82 | 333.84 | 2368.88 | 2850.34 | 3327.04 | 3630.78 |
| [y:] | 297.91 | 378.82 | 2026.07 | 2253.70 | 2400.19 | 2874.45 |
| [ع:] | 533.58 | 714.90 | 2104.27 | 2445.91 | 2810.02 | 3269.49 |
| [œ: | 526.16 | 718.10 | 1452.96 | 1702.18 | 2471.16 | 2977.74 |
| [a:] | 805.49 | 1019.05 | 1240.99 | 1487.60 | 2817.78 | 3239.25 |
| [г: | 537.21 | 715.47 | 844.28 | 1045.09 | 2872.82 | 3120.08 |
| [u:] | 329.44 | 319.34 | 697.81 | 806.24 | 2710.73 | 3172.24 |

Table 3: Mean $\mathrm{F}_{1}, \mathrm{~F}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ values (in Hz ) values ( $n=$ 250; standard deviations not shown) for the 7 long vowels [i: y: $\varepsilon$ : œ: a: o: $u:]$ in the (C)V:S syllables in Cantonese ( 50 male and 50 female speakers).
all the cases the mean F -value is larger for the female speakers than the male speakers, except for $[\mathrm{u}]$ the mean $F_{1}$ value for the female speakers ( 319.34 Hz , s.d. $=43.74$ ) is in fact 10.1 Hz smaller than that for the male speakers ( 329.44 Hz , s.d. $=34.60$ ). An examination of the $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ values for the 100 individual speakers shows that it is not always the case in which $[u]$ has a smaller $F_{1}$ value for the female speakers than the male speakers. However, result of the two-tailed grouped data $t$-test shows that the difference in $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ value between the male and female speakers is significant ( $p<0.05$ ). In any case, it is not clear as to why the $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ value for $[u]$ does not follow the general pattern.

Based on the F -values in Table 3, it is observed that the difference in $F_{1}$ value between the male and female speech
is negatively correlated with vowel height. The observation is based on the fact that the differences between the male and female speech in $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ value for the high vowels [i: y: u:] $(69.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 80.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, respectively) are smaller than those for the mid vowels [ $\varepsilon$ : œ: o:] ( $181.32 \mathrm{~Hz}, 191.94 \mathrm{~Hz}, 178.25 \mathrm{~Hz}$, respectively) and the difference is the largest for the low vowel [a] $(213.56 \mathrm{~Hz})$. Furthermore, the differences in $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ value between the male and female speech are smaller for the back vowels [u: o:] ( $108.43 \mathrm{~Hz}, 201.81 \mathrm{~Hz}$, respectively) than the non-back vowels [i: y: $\varepsilon:$ œ: a:] $(481.46 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $227.63 \mathrm{~Hz}, 341.46 \mathrm{~Hz}, 249.22 \mathrm{~Hz}, 246.61 \mathrm{~Hz}$, respectively). Thus, the difference in $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ value between the male and female speech is negatively correlated with vowel backness.

Figure 5 and Figure 6 show the vowel ellipses for the 7 half-long vowels [i y $\varepsilon$ œ a $\rho \mathrm{u}$ ] in the ( C )V:S syllables and the 4 short vowels $\left[\begin{array}{llll}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} & \Theta & \ddots\end{array}\right]$ in the (C)VS syllables, respectively, for the male (thick line and large IPA symbols) and female (thin line and small IPA symbols) speakers.


Figure 5: The vowel ellipses for the half-long vowels [i y $\varepsilon \propto$ a $\rho \mathrm{u}]$ in the (C)V:S syllables for the male (thick line and large IPA symbols) and female (thin line and small IPA symbols) speakers ( 50 male and 50 female speakers).


Figure 6: The vowel ellipses for the short vowels $\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & \text { e } & \text { e }\end{array}\right]$ in the (C)VS syllables for the male (thick line and large IPA symbols) and female (thin line and small IPA symbols) speakers ( 50 male and 50 female speakers).

Again, the positions of the vowel ellipses for the 7 halflong and 4 short vowels for the female speakers are shifted toward the lower left corner in the acoustical vowel space,
relative to those for the male speakers.
Aside from the differences in the absolute F -values between the male and female speakers, the F -value patterns for the two groups of speaker also differ. As can be seen in Figure 4 and Figure 5, for the female speakers the distance between the vowel ellipses for the mid and high vowels is larger than the distance between the vowels for the mid and low vowels. This is true for both the long and half-long vowels. As for the male speakers, the distances are similar. The phenomenon is also found in the vowel system in another major Chinese dialect, Beijing Mandarin [13].

## 4. SUMMARY

The study has presented the spectral characteristics of vowels in Hong Kong Cantonese based on the results of formant frequency analysis of the speech data from 50 male and 50 female speakers.
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